



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER
EIGHTH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-3834

COPY MAILED

JAN 27 2010

In re Application of
Massimo Morbidelli, et al.
Application No. 10/586,065
Filed: August 24, 2007
Attorney Docket No. 088857-000000US

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the above-identified application, filed November 12, 2009.

The petition is not signed by a registered attorney or agent or record. However, in accordance with 37 CFR 1.34(a), the signature of J. George Seka appearing on the petition shall constitute a representation to the United States Patent and Trademark Office that he is authorized to represent the particular party on whose behalf he acts.


The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned for failure to timely pay the issue and publication fees on or before October 20, 2009, as required by the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due, mailed July 20, 2009, which set a statutory period for reply of three (3) months. Accordingly, the application became abandoned on October 21, 2009. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on November 3, 2009. On November 12, 2009, the present petition was filed.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of the \$755 issue fee and the \$300 publication fee; (2) the petition fee of \$810; and (3) an adequate statement of unintentional delay¹.

The application is being referred to the Office of Data Management to be processed into a patent.

Telephone inquiries related to this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204. Telephone inquiries related to processing as a patent should be directed to (571) 272-4200.


Sherry D. Brinkley
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

¹ 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3) requires a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. While the statement is not made by an attorney of record, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.